TEAM NAME: JUDGE: DATE: August 2024

	Catagory Description Comments			Sagra Sagra		Category			Titl. Hugust 2021	Commonto	Score
	Category	Description	Comments	Score		Cai	legory	Description		Comments	Score
Key Deliverables (60%)	CO ₂ calculations (20%)	Rigorous and complete calculations; well- documented references; explicit treatment of uncertainty		/4			ntion quality 15%)	Presentation was well organized and talking points were well shared among team members; displayed mastery of subject matter across team members.			/4
	Financial calculations (10%)	Financials calculations are rigorous, reflect careful data collection and documentation, and cover Net Present Value (NPV), Return on Investment (ROI) and Payback Period, if applicable.		/4	Written/Oral Communication (35%)	Presentation content (15%)		Presentation clearly communicates the key deliverables, is attractive, and follows guidelines. Presentation contains a balance of informative images, graphs, and descriptive text.			/4
	Co-benefits (10%)	Co-benefits of project are adequately researched and clearly articulated.		/4			y/Innovation 5%)	The project team showed creativity in project choice, methodology, and/or presentation			/4
	Interpretation and Organization of Data (10%)	Data clearly supports project recommendations. Data is clear and easy to understand. Able to follow where and how the date was collected.		/4	Professionalism (5%)	(5%)		Highly professional and timely communication with CRC instructors and staff.			/4
	Implementation/Host Deliverables (10%)	Evidence of strong internal ownership and acceptance. Content is easily accessible for company partner		/4							

NOTES: